wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** 

	V.		ORDE	ER OF DETENTION	PENDING TRIAL
	Jose Cirilo Valdez-Beltran	_ Case Nun	nber: _	11-6153M	
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § esented by counsel. I conclude by a prant pending trial in this case.				
		FINDINGS OF FACT	Т		
find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:				
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custo Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been depor or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior crimina	l history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	co.			
	The defendant is an amnesty ap substantial family ties to Mexico.	plicant but has no subst	tantial	ties in Arizona or ir	the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as order	red.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement contac	ct by flo	eeing from law enfor	rcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximu	m of	у	ears imprisonment.	
The ( at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the mathematical the hearing in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pre noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF L		ervices Agency which	n were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the del No condition or combination of co	endant will flee.	assure	the appearance of the	he defendant as required.
a corrections appeal. The o of the United	defendant is committed to the custody facility separate, to the extent practica defendant shall be afforded a reasona States or on request of an attorney fo the United States Marshal for the pur	of the Attorney General ble, from persons awaitir ble opportunity for private the Government, the pe	or his/ ng or se e cons erson in n conn	her designated reproperving sentences or build a consideration with defense of the corresection with a court process.	peing held in custody pending counsel. On order of a cour ctions facility shall deliver the
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of y of the motion for review/reconsiderate	this detention order be fil-	ed with	n the District Court, it	is counsel's responsibility to nearing set before the Distric
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releast iciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be fore the District Court to	consid allow l	ered, it is counsel's r Pretrial Services an	esponsibility to notify Pretria opportunity to interview and
DAT	TED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 20 <sup>r</sup>	11.			
	•	Sun			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge